Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 1 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

```
1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                         SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
       SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
       COMPANY, INC.,
 5
                                       ) Case No.:
                                       ) 3:21-cv-03496-VC
 6
                  Plaintiff,
                                        ) Lead Case No.:
 7
                                        ) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
             vs.
       INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
 8
                  Defendant
 9
10
       IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL ROBOT )
       ANTITRUST LITIGATION
11
       THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
12
       ALL ACTIONS
13
14
                *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***
15
                         30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF:
16
17
                          KEITH ROBERT JOHNSON
18
                        THURSDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2022
19
                    9:06 a.m. Mountain Standard Time
20
       REPORTED BY:
21
22
       Vickie Blair
23
       CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR
24
       JOB NO. 5539883
25
       PAGES 1 - 122
                                                    Page 1
```

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 2 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	Deposition of KEITH ROBERT JOHNSON, the witness, taken
2	on behalf of the Defendant, on Thursday,
3	October 27, 2022, 9:06 a.m. Mountain Standard Time,
4	before VICKIE BLAIR, CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR.
5	
6	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL VIA ZOOM:
7	
8	FOR PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-DEFENDANT SURGICAL INSTRUMENT
	SERVICE CO. INC.:
9	
	HALEY GUILIANO LLP
10	BY JOSHUA VAN HOVEN, Partner
	111 North Market Street, Suite 900
11	San Jose, California 95113
	+1 669 213 1061
12	joshua.vanhoven@hglaw.com
13	
14	FOR DEFENDANT INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.:
	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
15	BY ISAAC D. CHAPUT, Associate
	415 Mission Street
16	Suite 5400
	San Francisco, California 94105-2533
17	+1 415 591 7020
18	ichaput@cov.com
	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
19	BY AUSTIN S. MARTIN, Associate
	One CityCenter
20	850 Tenth Street, NW
	Washington, D.C. 20001-4956
21	+1 202 662 5094
22	amartin@cov.com
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 3 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL VIA ZOOM: (Continued)
2	FOR THE PROPOSED CLASS:
3	BONI, ZACK & SNYDER LLC
	BY JOSHUA D. SNYDER, Partner
4	15 St. Asaphs Road
	Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004
5	(610) 822-0203
	(610) 822-0206
6	jsnyder@bonizack.com
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT:
9	RAMON A. PERAZA, Videographer
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 4 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1		INDEX	
2			
3	WITNESS	EXAMINATION	PAGE
4	KEITH ROBERT JOHN	ISON	
5		(MR. CHAPUT)	7
6			
7			
8		INFORMATION REQUESTED	
9		None	
10			
11			
12	QUESTIONS INS	STRUCTED BY COUNSEL NOT TO ANSWE	iR
13		None	
14			
15		EXHIBITS	
16	EXHIBIT NO. PAGE	DESCRIPTION	
17	Exhibit 135 10	Defendant Intuitive Surgical,	
18		Inc.'s Notice of Deposition of	
19		Plaintiff Surgical Instrument	
20		Service Company, Inc., Pursuar	it to
21		Fed. R. CIV. P. 30(b)(6)	
22	Exhibit 136 57	Email chain with attachments,	
23		Bates numbers SIS095115 throug	ih
24		SIS095139	
25			
		P	age 4

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 5 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	information did SIS have about Rebotix's capabilities	09:29:34
2	when SIS started its relationship with Rebotix?	09:29:41
3	MR. VAN HOVEN: Objection to form.	09:29:45
4	THE WITNESS: Can you ask that again, I	09:29:46
5	apologize.	09:29:51
6	BY MR. CHAPUT?	09:29:52
7	Q Sure. Maybe I can make it a little more	09:29:52
8	straightforward.	09:29:56
9	What did SIS know about Rebotix's	09:29:57
10	capabilities when it entered into the EndoWrist repair	09:29:59
11	business?	09:30:05
12	MR. VAN HOVEN: Objection to form.	09:30:05
13	THE WITNESS: So, based on our	09:30:05
14	longstanding relationship with Benjamin Biomedical, and	09:30:11
15	the quality products that they had been providing to us	09:30:16
16	for, like I said, over 25 years, we had every belief	09:30:19
17	that the products and services they were providing were	09:30:24
18	quality, and we went down, visited the lab, made sure	09:30:27
19	that we understood and saw the product that they were	09:30:32
20	developing and the service that they were providing,	09:30:36
21	felt really good about it, and were excited about it,	09:30:39
22	and learned everything we could about their testing	09:30:42
23	practices and what they were doing, and really pretty	09:30:45
24	much everything inside and out about that program	09:30:49
25	before we took it to market.	09:30:52
		Page 23

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 6 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	BY MR. CHAPUT:	09:30:52
2	Q Have you ever observed the entirety of	09:30:56
3	what Rebotix calls a repair of an EndoWrist?	09:31:01
4	A Yes.	09:31:07
5	MR. VAN HOVEN: Object to form.	09:31:08
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	09:31:08
7	BY MR. CHAPUT:	09:31:09
8	Q When did you observe that repair?	09:31:11
9	A I don't remember the specific dates, but	09:31:15
10	if I remember correctly, it was in the fall of '19.	09:31:24
11	Q And would you describe for me the repair	09:31:30
12	process that Rebotix performed that you observed?	09:31:42
13	A We observed the complete incoming	09:31:48
14	inspection process; we observed the chip replacement	09:31:55
15	process; and we also observed the complete outgoing	09:32:03
16	safety and function test of those devices.	09:32:08
17	Q Starting with the complete incoming	09:32:12
18	inspection that you observed, what steps were involved	09:32:24
19	in that incoming inspection?	09:32:27
20	A Being that that device is a very simple	09:32:33
21	laparoscopic instrument, we observed the functionality	09:32:39
22	of that device, the strength of the pulleys, the	09:32:43
23	sharpness of the scissors, the the grasping strength	09:32:48
24	of the forceps, all of those safety and function to	09:32:53
25	make sure that those devices met the original intended	09:32:57
		Page 24

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 7 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	did not take any other steps to confirm that the chip	09:44:47
2	replacement process did not impact the EndoWrist's	09:44:51
3	safety?	09:44:53
4	A Not that I'm aware of.	09:45:01
5	Q Did SIS ever enter into a written	09:45:06
6	agreement with Rebotix regarding this EndoWrist	09:45:09
7	service?	09:45:12
8	A I believe that we did.	09:45:21
9	Q And when when would that have happened?	09:45:22
10	A If I remember correctly, it was October of	09:45:30
11	'19.	09:45:32
12	Q Apart from the EndoWrist business that	09:45:50
13	you've been describing, has SIS had any other business	09:45:53
14	relationship with Rebotix specifically?	09:45:55
15	A Not that I know of.	09:46:01
16	Q Can you can you walk me through how the	09:46:04
17	Rebotix Repair service worked from the SIS customer's	09:46:14
18	perspective, please.	09:46:18
19	A Can can you elaborate what you mean?	09:46:19
20	Q Sure.	09:46:26
21	So how did a customer go about having a	09:46:26
22	an EndoWrist repaired through this SIS Rebotix program	09:46:30
23	that you've described?	09:46:36
24	A So the nature of our business, we're a	09:46:36
25	national company, so we work in all the regions around	09:46:44
		Page 32

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 8 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	the country, so we have team members and reps on the	09:46:48
2	ground, and we work with hospitals on a daily basis	09:46:51
3	picking up items and devices in need of service,	09:46:54
4	getting those to one of our labs, they are serviced and	09:46:57
5	then returned to the facility.	09:47:02
6	So this Rebotix program that we were	09:47:03
7	providing fell right in line with what we were doing	09:47:05
8	every day.	09:47:10
9	Q Was the service performed at one of SIS's	09:47:10
10	labs?	09:47:16
11	MR. VAN HOVEN: Objection to form.	09:47:18
12	THE WITNESS: We were every discussion	09:47:19
13	we had was about bringing it in-house and doing it	09:47:27
14	ourselves. In fact, a couple members of their team	09:47:29
15	came to Chicago and worked in our lab with us, and	09:47:37
16	our some of our technicians that were going to be	09:47:41
17	involved in this program were part of that, so we were	09:47:44
18	absolutely going to be doing this service in-house.	09:47:48
19	BY MR. CHAPUT:	09:47:51
20	Q Okay. So you said that you were "going to	09:47:51
21	be doing it in-house."	09:47:53
22	My question was: Did SIS ever actually	09:47:54
23	perform the service in-house?	09:47:57
24	A No.	09:47:58
25	Q So for all of the EndoWrist repairs that	09:48:00
		Page 33

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 9 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	testing process?	10:06:18
2	A I'm not involved in the engineering and	10:06:18
3	the technical side of that, so what I'm personally	10:06:26
4	providing is more of a customer feedback, customer	10:06:29
5	thoughts, customer interest in that program, and what	10:06:35
6	it would mean to health care.	10:06:38
7	Q Describe for me the customer feedback and	10:06:39
8	customer thoughts, customer interests in that program,	10:06:47
9	please.	10:06:50
10	A How much time do we have?	10:06:50
11	Q Describe it at a high level to start with,	10:06:54
12	and we can	10:06:58
13	A Since this program started, the interest	10:07:01
14	from the hospital is monumental, through the roof.	10:07:03
15	The the interest in saving and reducing costs on	10:07:11
16	robotic surgery in the industry is something I've never	10:07:15
17	seen before in my 25 years of being in the surgical	10:07:17
18	business.	10:07:22
19	Q What hospitals have you spoken with about	10:07:23
20	the Xi program?	10:07:27
21	A Would you like me to list them?	10:07:29
22	Q Yes, please.	10:07:35
23	A This will be from the top of my head, so	10:07:36
24	I'll do the best I can, but well over the meetings	10:07:40
25	that we've had represent well over a thousand	10:07:46
		Page 44

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 10 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	hospitals, probably.	10:07:48
2	Facility level, I'll just start to kind of	10:07:53
3	name them off regionally. Legacy Health system in	10:07:56
4	Portland, Oregon; Providence health system in the West	10:08:00
5	Coast; Sutter Health; Kaiser Permanente; memorial care;	10:08:04
6	the UC system in California; Banner Health System;	10:08:16
7	Honor Health; Baylor Scott & White in Texas; the	10:08:21
8	university health systems across the country, from	10:08:31
9	Michigan to Duke to North Carolina; Mayo Clinic;	10:08:35
10	Cleveland Clinic; Advocate Aurora; Lahey Health System;	10:08:50
11	Boston Children's Medical Center.	10:08:55
12	I can't believe I'm remembering all this	10:08:57
13	off the top of my head.	10:09:00
14	Piedmont health system, Grady in Atlanta,	10:09:02
15	Johns Hopkins.	10:09:13
16	That's the bulk of the direct hospitals	10:09:14
17	that I can recall having direct conversations with;	10:09:25
18	there's obviously much more than that.	10:09:27
19	And then, in addition to that, all the	10:09:29
20	Vizient conversations we've had, I've presented to all	10:09:33
21	four regions of Vizient, which basically covers well	10:09:41
22	over 2,000 hospitals in the United States.	10:09:45
23	Q Have you spoken with any of those	10:09:48
24	hospitals about the need for an EndoWrist repair	10:10:09
25	program to have FDA clearance?	10:10:11
		Page 45

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 11 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	A I don't know if I understand what you're	10:10:20
2	asking me.	10:10:21
3	Q Have any of those hospitals told you that	10:10:22
4	they would be willing to purchase EndoWrist repair	10:10:29
5	services that were not cleared by the FT FDA?	10:10:33
6	MR. VAN HOVEN: Objection to form.	10:10:40
7	MR. SNYDER: Objection to form.	10:10:42
8	THE WITNESS: So I've been in the repair	10:10:42
9	business for well over 20 years, repairs don't require	10:10:44
10	FDA clearance, and to my recollection, nobody in any of	10:10:49
11	my conversations every brought up FDA clearance on the	10:10:58
12	repair.	10:11:01
13	BY MR. CHAPUT:	10:11:02
14	Q Does the Xi maybe let's let's step	10:11:02
15	back.	10:11:05
16	Does the Xi repair business that SIS is	10:11:06
17	exploring with Restore involve extending the number of	10:11:12
18	lives that an EndoWrist can be used for?	10:11:18
19	A We are currently working on developing a	10:11:20
20	program to extend the life of Xi instruments.	10:11:31
21	Q And is that the program that you have	10:11:34
22	spoken with hospitals about?	10:11:36
23	A The initial conversations we had with	10:11:47
24	hospitals was around the repair program of Si.	10:11:49
25	We then went to our recovery program,	10:11:57
		Page 46

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 12 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	BY MR. CHAPUT:	11:47:09
2	Q And this is an email from you to a couple	11:47:09
3	folks at Vizient; is that right?	11:47:13
4	A Yes.	11:47:16
5	Q And what is Vizient?	11:47:21
6	A The largest GPO in the United States.	11:47:26
7	Q SIS has a relationship with Vizient; is	11:47:28
8	that right?	11:47:33
9	A Correct.	11:47:33
10	Q How does that how does the Vizient	11:47:33
11	relationship work for SIS?	11:47:42
12	A What what do you mean?	11:47:46
13	Q So what I'm trying to get at is how does	11:47:47
14	SIS end up performing services for specific customers	11:47:55
15	in the who who rely on Vizient for as a GPO?	11:48:02
16	A So Vizient Vizient contracts with	11:48:07
17	vendors from all aspects in a hospital, from toilet	11:48:12
18	paper to X-ray machines and security, they vet their	11:48:16
19	vendors, they go through a huge vetting process.	11:48:23
20	We are one of three vendors on Vizient	11:48:25
21	national contract in the instrument repair space, and	11:48:29
22	what they basically do is work with hospitals to find	11:48:35
23	ways to streamline services, reduce costs, and a lot of	11:48:38
24	other things, but that's really their main goal.	11:48:45
25	Q Okay. So a Vizient member can choose to	11:48:49
		Page 87

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 13 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	enter into a contract with SIS for repair services or	11:48:51
2	it could choose another one of the Vizient service	11:48:55
3	providers; is that right?	11:48:59
4	MR. VAN HOVEN: Objection to form.	11:49:00
5	THE WITNESS: As far as I understand,	11:49:00
6	correct.	11:49:05
7	BY MR. CHAPUT:	11:49:06
8	Q What was the what was the reason for	11:49:10
9	your June 2020 email to Vizient that we're seeing in	11:49:13
10	Exhibit 137?	11:49:19
11	A I was given the opportunity present to the	11:49:20
12	national committee if I remember correctly, this was	11:50:00
13	a presentation to the national Vizient consultants that	11:50:05
14	bring cost savings opportunities to their members.	11:50:13
15	Q Got it.	11:50:19
16	If you would turn, please, to the first	11:50:20
17	attachment to the email, which is the a one-page	11:50:21
18	document ending 140, and this has the title "Beyond	11:50:25
19	Repair Double Check."	11:50:25
20	Do you recognize this document?	11:50:34
21	A Yes.	11:50:39
22	Q Does the beyond repair double check	11:50:39
23	program have anything to do with either da Vinci	11:50:41
24	surgical systems or EndoWrists?	11:50:44
25	A No.	11:50:50
		Page 88

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 14 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	for identification and is attached	12:24:02
2	hereto.)	12:24:02
3	BY MR. CHAPUT:	12:24:02
4	Q And this is an email chain between you and	12:24:06
5	John Ayers and others at MarinHealth; is that right?	12:24:09
6	A Yes.	12:24:13
7	Q Who is John Ayers?	12:24:13
8	A John Ayers is the OR business manager at	12:24:16
9	MarinHealth.	12:24:24
10	Q Did SIS market its ability to perform	12:24:28
11	endo repairs on EndoWrist instruments to	12:24:31
12	MarinHealth?	12:24:35
13	A Yes.	12:24:36
14	Q Did MarinHealth and Mr. Ayers ultimately	12:24:37
15	agree to use SIS to perform repairs on EndoWrist	12:24:44
16	instruments?	12:24:48
17	A Yes, we did a lot of EndoWrists for	12:24:49
18	MarinHealth.	12:24:53
19	Q Over what period?	12:24:53
20	A I don't remember specifically, but I would	12:24:55
21	say 90 days-ish.	12:25:02
22	Q And how many instruments did you service	12:25:05
23	for MarinHealth?	12:25:07
24	A I would guess in the range of 50 to 60.	12:25:08
25	Q Did you have a signed agreement with Marin	12:25:15
		Page 109

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 15 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	for that business?	12:25:21
2	A Not initially, no, it was kind of a trial,	12:25:21
3	we were doing a trial to make sure they liked it.	12:25:25
4	Q And you said "not initially."	12:25:28
5	Was there an agreement for that business	12:25:30
6	that you entered into with MarinHealth later?	12:25:32
7	A There would have absolutely been an	12:25:35
8	agreement in place until Intuitive shut us down.	12:25:37
9	Q If you would turn to the page ending 545,	12:25:40
10	there's an email in the middle of this page from you to	12:26:05
11	Mr. Ayers dated November 19, 2019.	12:26:07
12	A Okay.	12:26:16
13	Q And in the middle of that email, you say	12:26:16
14	(as read):	12:26:16
15	We are working with a large a	12:26:20
16	number of the largest health care	12:26:22
17	organizations in the U.S.	12:26:23
18	And then you list Banner Health, Kaiser	12:26:24
19	Permanente, Legacy Health, Advocate Aurora Health, and	12:26:28
20	Piedmont Healthcare.	12:26:32
21	As of November 2019, was SIS providing	12:26:33
22	services to any of those organizations relating to	12:26:37
23	EndoWrist instruments?	12:26:43
24	A All of them.	12:26:50
25	Q And, in response, on Monday, November 25,	12:26:51
		Page 110

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 16 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	2019, Mr. Ayers wrote to you oh, I apologize, I was	12:27:06
2	looking at the wrong email.	12:27:16
3	If you would turn to page ending 544, I'm	12:27:18
4	looking at the email from Mr. Ayers on November 25,	12:27:22
5	2019, at 1:43 p.m. where he asks (as read):	12:27:26
6	Keith, how do the other hospitals	12:27:30
7	get past the Intuitive contract language	12:27:32
8	regarding proprietary instruments?	12:27:34
9	Do you see that question?	12:27:37
10	A What page was that again?	12:27:38
11	Q 544.	12:27:47
12	A Okay, yes, I see it.	12:27:50
13	Q And you responded in an email that same	12:27:53
14	day at 1:07 p.m. (as read):	12:27:57
15	Our service is, quote, repairing,	12:28:01
16	unquote, an Intuitive instrument. We are	12:28:04
17	not changing the instrument in any way	12:28:06
18	from its intended use or designed	12:28:09
19	functionality.	12:28:11
20	Do you see that statement? This is on	12:28:13
21	543.	12:28:19
22	A Okay. I was going the wrong direction.	12:28:20
23	Yes, I see it.	12:28:23
24	Q Okay. And what was the basis for your	12:28:24
25	statement that SIS was not changing the instrument in	12:28:27
		Page 111

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 127-21 Filed 03/23/23 Page 17 of 17 *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***

1	Q Did SIS ever facilitate any EndoWrist	12:34:41
2	repair services for Vizient members?	12:34:45
3	A Absolutely.	12:34:47
4	Q Which which Vizient members were those?	12:34:47
5	A Legacy, Legacy Health, Kaiser Permanente,	12:34:52
6	Piedmont, most of the our other big clients are	12:35:06
7	Premiere, they're not Vizient, so if you want if	12:35:20
8	you're asking me actual repairs done, I think those are	12:35:24
9	the three big ones.	12:35:28
10	MR. CHAPUT: Okay. So, Mr. Johnson, I	12:35:39
11	don't have any more questions at this point on the	12:35:41
12	30(b)(6) notice. We are going continue with your	12:35:42
13	deposition in your personal capacity, but we can go	12:35:45
14	ahead and take a break before we do that.	12:35:48
15	MR. SNYDER: Isaac, Josh Snyder, I do have	12:35:55
16	a few questions, very few, but I'm happy to save them	12:35:58
17	all till the end in the interest of efficiency. You	12:36:01
18	may cover them in the next part anyway.	12:36:06
19	MR. CHAPUT: Sure, that's fine, Josh.	12:36:08
20	MR. SNYDER: Thank you.	12:36:11
21	VIDEOGRAPHER PERAZA: This is the end of	12:36:12
22	today's deposition of SIS by Mr. Keith Johnson. We are	12:36:14
23	off the record at 12:36 p.m.	12:36:17
24	The total number of media used was four,	12:36:21
25	and will be retained by Veritext.	12:36:25
		Page 116